

SECRET



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
SECURITY SUPPORT DETACHMENT  
USAINSCOM, 902D MILITARY INTELLIGENCE GROUP  
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF:

IAGPA-F-SD

7 March 1984

MEMORANDUM THRU ~~MR. DEL TORO, ADCSOP HUMINT~~  
~~COL Guild, DCSOPS~~  
~~COL Kirk, COTS~~  
BG Scanlon, DCG-S  
BG Hunt, DCG-I

*UNT 7 Mar 84*

FOR MG STUBBLEBINE, CG, INSCOM

SUBJECT: CENTER LANE/MIAS Briefing for LTG Brown, 1 March 1984

SGFOIA3

1. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) On 1 March 1984, from 1620 - 1810 hours, COL Partridge, HQ, INSCOM and LTC Buzby, CENTER LANE Project Manager, provided a briefing on CENTER LANE, INSCOM's training program with the Monroe Institute of Applied Sciences (MIAS), [REDACTED] to LTG Brown, Director of the Army Staff (DAS) in LTG Brown's Pentagon office. The briefing was provided to respond to his request for information [REDACTED] (Inclosure 1). Also in attendance were BG Hunt DCG-I, INSCOM; BG Soyster, Deputy ACSI, HQ, DA; and LTC Mangold, Intelligence Staff Officer, ODAS. This memorandum was jointly prepared by COL Partridge and LTC Buzby.

SGFOIA3

2. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) LTG Brown had received a 30-minute CENTER LANE briefing from LTC Fox, DAMI-ISH on 29 August 1983 and was present during the information briefing provided to the Secretary of the Army on 5 January 1984 on MIAS and Mr. Robert Monroe. The purpose of the CENTER LANE briefing was to illustrate to LTG Brown CENTER LANE accomplishments and

WARNING NOTICE: CENTER LANE SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM  
RESTRICT DISSEMINATION TO THOSE WITH VERIFIED ACCESS  
TO CATEGORY THREE (3)

SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED  
NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

CLASSIFIED BY: CDR, INSCOM  
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

SECRET

IAGPA-F-SD

SUBJECT: CENTER LANE/MIAS Briefing for LTG Brown, 1 March 1984

provide background information on CENTER LANE's and INSCOM's involvement with MIAS. A copy of the briefing script is attached as Inclosure 2. LTG Brown received the briefing well, asked several pertinent questions, and made several astute comments. They are listed below:

a. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) What is a "project"? (Complete answer provided along with an explanation of a remote viewing session.) ✓

b. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) What does a source (remote viewer) do when he is not participating in an interview? (Complete answer provided. Remote viewers perform/monitor training, perform analysis and write reports, and perform traditional military support functions.) ✓

c. (S/CL-2/NOFORN) Your chart indicates that a large number of your projects have intelligence value. What do you mean by "value," and was it high or low? (I provided LTG Brown with an explanation and an example of completed evaluations on a project and several interviews that the project's sponsors provided. BG Soyster offered additional amplifying data to explain the intelligence evaluation system.) ✓

d. (S/CL-2/NOFORN) What is your funding? (Charts showing the Program's cost from FY 79-83, along with an explanation of expenses in FY 84 expenditures were provided. LTG Brown questioned the large expenditure on word processing equipment in FY's 82 and 83 and suggested to BG Hunt that expenditures such as those be examined. BG Hunt indicated INSCOM will review this. A specific response to LTG Brown is not required. ||

e. (S/CL-2/NOFORN) What are S&IA funds? (Complete answer provided to include a brief explanation of the August 1982 Congressional decision to remove the Project from NFIP.)

f. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) What type of TDY do you perform? How do you pay for the TDY? LTC Buzby responded that CENTER LANE personnel perform TDY to accomplish training, the Project Manager and/or operations officer perform TDY to monitor the contracts, look for alternative contractors and screen and select new personnel for the program. LTG Brown was concerned that since CENTER LANE operated like a "black program" TDY expenses might be paid from a cash account the office maintained. I assured LTG Brown that TDY was performed

IAGPA-F-SD

SUBJECT: CENTER LANE/MIAS Briefing for LTG Brown, 1 March 1984

in a normal manner and that expenses were paid when individuals submitted appropriate travel vouchers. His questions in this area indicated his concern with the DCSOPS' investigation.)

g. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) Is SRI-International a sole-source contract? Who do you deal with at SRI? How did you deliver payment to SRI for the contracts you gave them? (Complete answer provided to include a breakdown of funds provided to SRI-International. LTG Brown had a previous association with SRI-International and he did not have a favorable impression from the association.) //?

h. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) Is the cost of the program worth the effort? (We made two points: Our assessment and assessments from other intelligence agencies indicate that it is worth the effort; and secondly, the Soviets are involved in this area and that we must maintain an effort.) //★

i. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) Don't you have too much overhead? (This question was prompted when LTC Buzby provided an overview of the CENTER LANE personnel posture over the years that shows current personnel strength includes a project manager, an operations officer, four sources, and two secretaries. It was consistent with his thinking behind questions 2d and 2h above. An explanation of individual duties was provided.)

j. (U) As LTC Buzby finished, LTG Brown asked if we had heard of LIFE SPRING. We told him that we had looked at LIFE SPRING and EST but had ruled them out as INSCOM programs. LTG Brown mentioned that he had gone to a "majarishi" with his family once, but after being informed that he would have to "pay homage," decided not to participate. //

3. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) Upon completion of the CENTER LANE briefing, COL Partridge provided an explanation of INSCOM's involvement with MIAS [redacted] He showed LTG Brown the Venn diagram to show the relationship between MIAS and CENTER LANE (Inclosure 3). [redacted]

SGFOIA3

LTG Brown:

SGFOIA3

a. (U) Asked for (and received) a description of the Hemi-Sync process and the training at MIAS.

SGFOIA3

IAGPA-F-SD

SUBJECT: CENTER LANE/MIAS Briefing for LTG Brown, 1 March 1984

b. (U) Asked if MIAS was an approved facility. We told him it was licensed in Virginia, but that FDA had stated FDA approval was not required. 7

e. (U) Asked what the program costs. We told him \$850.00 per person. He asked what our FY 84 program was. Told him \$60,000; i.e. three sessions remaining with 20 people per session at \$850 per person - 51,000 (that leaves \$9,000 for individual trainers). Also, indications are that for the next contract, current cost of \$850 per person may increase.

f. (U) Stated that he was concerned any time the Army did things involving soldiers minds. 11

g. (U) Understood that the program was voluntary but stated that some might feel pressured to participate in view of high level command support for the program. 11

h. (U) Asked if some people might feel left out--had heard some "fluttering" that the program might be creating an "in" group or "clique." We explained that attendees were from all over the command, not just at Headquarters, and that no "cliques" were formed. 11

SGFOIA3

**SECRET**

IAGPA-F-SD

SUBJECT: CENTER LANE/MIAS Briefing for LTG Brown, 1 March 1984

4. (U) While LTG Brown acknowledged his understanding of the incident, he appeared skeptical of INSCOM's involvement with MIAS training and did not appear convinced of the value of that training. At that point, BG Hunt suggested to LTG Brown that he discuss this point directly with MG Stubblebine upon the latter's return from TDY. LTG Brown responded that he would like a discussion with the CG. Earlier BG Hunt told LTG Brown that MG Stubblebine had asked the DAIG to review certain INSCOM programs to include CENTER LANE.

5. (U) LTG Brown express an appreciation for the briefing, stated that he had a better understanding of CENTER LANE activities, and that he would like to see MG Stubblebine when he returns from TDY to discuss INSCOM's involvement with MIAS.

3 Incl  
as



BRIAN BUZBY  
LTC, MI  
CENTER LANE Project Manager

**SECRET**